



HARRISVILLE CITY

363 West Independence • Harrisville, Utah 84404 • (801) 782-4100

CITY COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA 363 West Independence Blvd October 9, 2018

MAYOR:

Michelle Tait

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Grover Wilhelmsen
Gary Robinson
Ruth Pearce
Clark Beecher
Steve Weiss

"In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, the City of Harrisville will make reasonable accommodations for participation in the meeting. Request for assistance can be made by contacting the City Recorder at 801-782-4100, providing at least three working days advance notice of the meeting."

7:00 P.M. CITY COUNCIL MEETING

Presiding: Mayor Michelle Tait

Mayor Pro Tem: Grover Wilhelmsen

- 1. CALL TO ORDER**
- 2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE & OPENING CEREMONY** [Council Member Weiss]
- 3. CONSENT ITEMS**
 - a. Approve the minutes of September 11, 2018 as presented.
- 4. BUSINESS ITEMS**
 - a. Discussion/possible action to approve bid for construction for Harrisville City 1100 North Extension Project. [Sean Lambert]
 - b. Discussion/possible action to approve Harrisville Resolution 18-12; a Resolution entering an Interlocal Agreement with Weber School District for Law Enforcement Services. [Max Jackson]
 - c. Discussion/possible action to approve 2018 Capital Investments Plan. [Bill Morris]
- 5. PUBLIC COMMENTS - (3 minute maximum)**
- 6. MAYOR/COUNCIL FOLLOW-UP:**
- 7. CLOSED EXECUTIVE SESSION:** Utah State Code §52-4-205(1)(a) & §52-4-205(1)(f) : The Council may consider a motion to enter into Closed Executive Session for the purpose of discussion of character, professional competence, or physical or mental health of individual(s).
- 8. ADJOURN**

DATE POSTED: October 4, 2018

BY: Jennie Knight, City Recorder

I, Jennie Knight, certify that I am the City Recorder of Harrisville City, Utah, and that the foregoing City Council agenda was faxed to the Ogden Standard Examiner, Weber County Library, and neighboring cities. The agenda was also posted at the following locations: City hall, on the City's website www.cityofharrisville.com and the State Public Meeting Notice website at <http://pmn.utah.gov>.

**MINUTES OF HARRISVILLE CITY
CITY COUNCIL MEETING
September 11, 2018 – 7:00 p.m.
363 West Independence Blvd
Harrisville, UT 84404**

Present: Mayor Michelle Tait, Council Member Grover Wilhelmsen, Council Member Ruth Pearce, Council Member Clark Beecher, Council Member Steve Weiss. [Council Member Gary Robinson is excused]

Staff: Bill Morris, City Administrator, Max Jackson, Police Chief, Rick Hill, Bailiff, Jennie Knight, City Recorder, Mark Wilson, Police Sergeant.

Visitors: The Averill Family, Douglas Larsen.

7:00 P.M. CITY COUNCIL MEETING

1. Call to Order.

Mayor Tait called the meeting to order and welcomed all visitors.

2. Opening Ceremony.

Council Member Beecher led the pledge of allegiance and conducted the opening ceremony.

3. Consent Items.

- a. Approve the minutes of August 28, 2018 as presented.

MOTION: Council Member Pearce motioned to approve the minutes of August 28, 2018 as presented. Council Member Wilhelmsen seconded the motion. All Council Members voted aye. Motion passed.

4. Business Items.

a. Discussion/possible action to approve Proposal for Consulting Services by “Elevate”; a business plan for Economic Development.

Doug Larsen, from Elevate Consulting Services, followed up on his first proposal in June. He took the direction received from Council and made adjustments and submitted a new proposal. This four segment proposal includes a business plan and community development. He reviewed the information from Better City that was prepared a few years ago; updating that information to build on through this new proposal. The first segment is data gathering and thinking about the community. A proposed vision statement and goal statement include what is defined for a long term outlook; addressing the questions of who we want to be in the future.

The business plan is a key piece. The city should develop a definition for economic development which includes a unified idea so they can communicate the shared idea with residents.

This would be a unified idea so when Council is talking to residents about the shared ideas. The project scope, understanding who we are is included in the first segment; also the readiness and willingness for change. These are not always popular things. Making decisions on a well put together plan for 5 to 10 years down the road. The decisions Council makes now, may not come to fruition for many years. Of importance is identifying opportunities for the city as well. Either through a well planned general plan or a strategic plan.

Segment one is who we are, updating and building on the better city information. We have a lot of traffic coming through the city as commute traffic to major work centers. We have a good income level. He would like to know how many residents live in our city and also talk about creating projects, and different types of development, not just related to revenue. There is business value to see what things are popping up naturally. Council should be taking inventory of vacant land and improved real estate and define what a development site really is.

Segment two is identifying existing and needed assets to enable preservation and anticipated changes. Segment 2.5 is an opportunity identification and evaluation. Segment three is incorporating and implementing the phases, including applications for building permits and business licenses. Business License applications would include a thank you for coming into Harrisville.

Doug Larsen reviewed the proposal costs associated with each segment and will identify a return on the investment. But this would be years out.

Council Member Beecher asked if Council approves all four segments, and what is the time frame for completion. Doug Larsen responded six to nine months or most of a year. After segment one is complete, he would be attending the council meetings to gather and share thoughts. Adjustments will be made along the way which could take some time.

Mayor Tait asked how she and the Council can be more involved. Doug Larsen explained the importance of a business retention plan. He suggested Council go to businesses such as HHI and setup a luncheon, making sure we are providing value for the businesses we currently have in our city; including an understanding of the work force and employee base of these businesses. Building relationships with department of workforce services, Ogden Tech College can also show support to local businesses. He emphasized the importance of providing value and the tax rate conversation with our businesses by knowing what the future on the community side of things will look like. He complimented the Main Park, and other areas around the park. This is important for businesses to know we are focusing on these things.

Additionally the transportation plan, including the WFRC plan, will help business understanding of preserving corridors, etc. The city must approach with pieces of value to these businesses regarding who they are. There may be room for phases in the future that meet the development sites. Mayor and Council must have information about these sites and be prepared to answer questions regarding potential development of these sites; even using cheat sheets to help with this. If the process is hurried, things

are forgotten. Council must practice to understand what each business brings to the community.

Council Member Wilhelmsen asked about whether our residential size is enough to support more commercial development. Doug Larsen admitted he is unsure as of now. He believes we should develop the right business culture. He also suggested the Council consider what will happen if/when Wal-Mart leaves the area; having a recovery plan in place if one of the major pieces goes away along with identifying the right pieces. Having a long term outlook can also protect the agricultural heritage and future developments. A large portion of this will include a plan for future housing, determining the right types of housing will help.

Doug Larsen also warned against chasing big box stores. He believes they have a place, but should not be the center point. Council Member Wilhelmsen commented the last phase is actually recruiting businesses. Doug Larsen confirmed as we prepare a strategy we include who we would like to contact. Boyer is a good place to have a conversation. Another thing to consider is looking at commercial office space which provides revenue diversification. Weber County and Ogden City have both experience this result. Harrisville City offers commuters through Highway 89 and access to both BDO and Ogden Industrial Park. Another direction is health care. These types of offices tend to cluster around each other. The city could be willing to invest some in these things through Community Reinvestment Committees.

Staff recommended approving segment one of the proposal, having a joint meeting with planning commission for a work session and implement the other segments at a later time, maybe even after the new budget is passed. The general plan could be modified in conjunction with segment one. Mayor Tait expressed that is a good plan. Council Member Pearce asked how much of segment one is included in the general plan with regard to what Rural Community has prepared. Bill Morris responded that Rural Community Consultants were following the state requirements for the general plan. Segment one incorporated some of the information from Better City and made a price adjustment. Also with the potential of the golf course going into redevelopment, there will be some cross over during this process to meld ideas in the general plan.

Council Member Wilhelmsen asked more about the city investment options, specifically what this means and the potential costs to set a foundation for a business to come in. Doug Larsen said this will be site dependent. Development would generate revenue that would pay for those costs. He used examples of having a place ready for development. Proper planning would be to use future revenue, not existing revenue. Having the city writing checks does not create prudent public policy. These projects should generate their own value. Bill Morris explained community development areas are based on the tax generated from the project, not tax coming out of resident's pockets, just delaying the revenue from tax collection.

MOTION: Council Member Weiss motioned to approve segment one in the amount of \$3,000 of the Elevate Proposal. Council Member Beecher seconded the motion.

A Roll Call Vote was taken.

Council Member Weiss	Yes
Council Member Beecher	Yes
Council Pearce	Yes
Council Member Wilhelmsen	Yes

Motion passed 4-0.

b. Discussion/possible action to approve potential RAMP and CDBG Grant applications.

Bill Morris explained this is the time to talk about grant applications. We have applied for a RAMP grant to address the parking issue at Millennium Park. This is a \$300,000 project. We have been competing with Farr West who has received money for the Smith Family Park in recent years. He is seeking permission from Council to apply for this grant again. Also CDBG grants are community development block grants. These are administered through HUD for small cities. This is a federal grant working through the Department of Workforce Services. We will apply for an ADA project to bring the Public Works building up to code.

c. Capital Improvement Plan discussion.

Bill Morris explained the grant the city received a few years ago to upgrade City Hall. The bathrooms and access ramp were both upgraded and the building is now up to standard. In order to be eligible for these grants the projects must be included in our plan. Low income neighborhoods also use these. Funds can be used to make sure sewer and water lines are in good shape. Requirements include surveying an area where 51% must comply with the moderate income housing requirements to improve the water, sewer, and sidewalks in the area.

He suggested Council take some time over the course of the next month to include some new projects. The last page is sort of a wish list where short and long term projects are listed. These may not be readily accomplished. New projects include a cemetery, new city complex, creating a central business district, and redevelopment and reconstruction at the park. We should be reviewing these each year and including things we would like to apply for grants.

Mayor Tait suggested new playground equipment. Council Member Wilhelmsen suggested moving the pathway plan project up to a medium project. He also asked if upgrading the playground equipment could include the water park, which gets more costly every year. Council Member Pearce asked if the cabin needs anything to be ADA compliant. Bill Morris responded he thought this had been completed in the past. Council Member Pearce asked about ADA compliance for City Hall. Bill Morris clarified

this was installed and we are compliant. He said the minimum grant application is for \$30,000.

Council Member Wilhelmsen asked if flashing speed signs could be placed around the city, possibly on 1100 North. Bill Morris explained what he is seeing as a prosecutor, these signs are often being used to calculate the speed of street racing. These defendants move from place to place. Council Member Weiss suggested installing flashing yield and stop signs.

5. Public Comments - (3 minute maximum)

No public comments were offered.

6. Mayor/Council Follow-Up:

Council Member Weiss informed Council the staff dinner has been postponed. Mayor and Council agreed to hold a City Christmas party on December 4th, 2018 at 6:00 p.m. at the Harrisville Cabin.

Mayor Tait reminded Council the ULCT training begins tomorrow. Council Members Pearce and Robinson will attend Thursday.

Council Member Wilhelmsen gave a Mosquito Abatement update.

Council Member Weiss said the grills which were discussed at the Heritage Days follow-up meeting have been purchased.

- 7. CLOSED EXECUTIVE SESSION:** Utah State Code §52-4-205(1)(a) & §52-4-205(1)(f) : The Council may consider a motion to enter into Closed Executive Session for the purpose of discussion of character, professional competence, or physical or mental health of individual(s) and discussion regarding deployment of security personnel, devices, or systems.

MOTION: Council Member Weiss motioned to close the public meeting and enter a Closed Executive Session. Council Member Wilhelmsen seconded the motion. A Roll Call vote was taken.

Council Member Wilhelmsen	Yes
Council Member Pearce	Yes
Council Member Clark Beecher	Yes
Council Member Steve Weiss	Yes

Motion passed 4-0.

Mayor and Council convened into a Closed Executive Session.

MOTION: Council Member Pearce motioned to close the Closed Executive Session and reopen the public meeting. Council Member Weiss seconded the motion. A Roll Call vote was taken.

Council Member Wilhelmsen	Yes
Council Member Pearce	Yes
Council Member Clark Beecher	Yes
Council Member Steve Weiss	Yes

Motion passed 4-0.

8. Adjourn.

Mayor Tait declared the meeting adjourned at 8:28pm.

ATTEST:

MICHELLE TAIT
Mayor

JENNIE KNIGHT

City Recorder

Approved this 9th day of October, 2018



HARRISVILLE CITY

363 West Independence • Harrisville, Utah 84404 • (801) 782-4100

MAYOR:

Michelle N. Tait

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Grover Wilhelmsen
Gary Robinson
Jennifer Jensen
Ruth Pearce
Clark Beecher

October 4, 2018

RE: Harrisville City 1100 North Extension Project

Mayor and Council,

As part of the Harrisville City 1100 North Extension Project, estimate were solicited from eight reputable contracting companies; Skyview Excavation & Grading, Regency Excavation, E.H. Knudson Construction Co., Advanced Paving & Construction, Staker Parson Materials & Construction, Marsh Construction, Randy Marriott Construction, and B&K Fox Contractor's Inc.

The three lowest bid prices are as follows:

Marsh Construction	\$356,590.91
Randy Marriott Construction	\$339,829.88
B&K Fox Contractor's Inc.	\$297,424.00

I would like to request approval to B&K Fox Contractor's Inc. in the amount of \$297,424.00 for the construction of Harrisville City 1100 North Extension Project. If you have any questions or comments, please call 801-916-1723 or email slambert@cityofharrisville.com.

Sincerely,

Sean Lambert
Public Works Director
Harrisville City

BID TABULATION

Harrisville City 1100 North Extension Project

Bid Opening: October 4, 2018, 2:00 p.m., Jones & Associates

Item	Description	Qty	Unit	ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE		1 B&K Fox Contractor's Inc.		2 Randy Marriott Construction		3 Marsh Construction	
				Unit Price	Total	Unit Price	Total	Unit Price	Total	Unit Price	Total
1	Mobilization	1	l.s.	\$ 15,000.00	\$ 15,000.00	\$ 6,000.00	\$ 6,000.00	\$ 7,000.00	\$ 7,000.00	\$ 15,000.00	\$ 15,000.00
2	Traffic Control	1	l.s.	2,500.00	2,500.00	500.00	500.00	500.00	500.00	1,200.00	1,200.00
3	UPDES Storm Water Compliance (over one acre)	1	l.s.	2,500.00	2,500.00	500.00	500.00	2,500.00	2,500.00	2,500.00	2,500.00
4	15" CL-III RCP storm drain	265	l.f.	40.00	10,600.00	29.00	7,685.00	37.12	9,836.80	30.53	8,090.45
5	4' diameter storm drain manhole	1	ea	3,000.00	3,000.00	2,050.00	2,050.00	3,119.93	3,119.93	2,409.98	2,409.98
6	Storm drain inlet box	2	ea	2,250.00	4,500.00	1,900.00	3,800.00	1,916.18	3,832.36	1,902.75	3,805.50
7	8" SDR-35 PVC sanitary sewer	438	l.f.	30.00	13,140.00	35.00	15,330.00	56.40	24,703.20	29.75	13,030.50
8	4' diameter sanitary sewer manhole	3	ea	3,500.00	10,500.00	2,500.00	7,500.00	3,803.86	11,411.58	3,088.35	9,265.05
9	8" C-900 PVC culinary water line	698	l.f.	35.00	24,430.00	21.00	14,658.00	25.28	17,645.44	25.16	17,561.68
10	8" fittings for culinary water line	8	ea	500.00	4,000.00	350.00	2,800.00	648.98	5,191.84	524.02	4,192.16
11	8" gate valve	1	ea	2,000.00	2,000.00	1,550.00	1,550.00	2,028.48	2,028.48	2,772.00	2,772.00
12	6" C-900 PVC culinary water line	20	l.f.	25.00	500.00	20.00	400.00	34.05	681.00	45.00	900.00
13	Fire hydrant	1	ea	5,000.00	5,000.00	4,575.00	4,575.00	5,576.39	5,576.39	5,433.99	5,433.99
14	Loop water line under 42" RCP storm drain	1	ea	5,000.00	5,000.00	4,250.00	4,250.00	3,577.25	3,577.25	4,100.00	4,100.00
15	6" C-900 PVC secondary water line	678	l.f.	28.00	18,984.00	15.00	10,170.00	17.86	12,109.08	19.75	13,390.50
16	6" fittings for secondary water line	7	ea	450.00	3,150.00	400.00	2,800.00	645.19	4,516.33	438.20	3,067.40
17	6" gate valve (culinary and secondary)	2	ea	1,500.00	3,000.00	750.00	1,500.00	1,725.19	3,450.38	1,266.71	2,533.42
18	15" SDR-35 PVC irrigation line	472	l.f.	35.00	16,520.00	23.50	11,092.00	35.22	16,623.84	33.85	15,977.20
19	4' diameter irrigation manhole	2	ea	2,500.00	5,000.00	2,250.00	4,500.00	3,533.87	7,067.74	2,500.00	5,000.00
20	Irrigation turnout structure with canal gate	1	ea	10,000.00	10,000.00	4,350.00	4,350.00	3,931.86	3,931.86	3,150.00	3,150.00
21	Remove existing turnout structure and plug existing pipe	1	l.s.	750.00	750.00	1,000.00	1,000.00	700.00	700.00	1,750.00	1,750.00
22	Remove existing irrigation pipe under new roadway	100	l.f.	5.00	500.00	5.00	500.00	15.00	1,500.00	8.00	800.00
23	Remove existing irrigation manhole	1	ea	500.00	500.00	200.00	200.00	1,000.00	1,000.00	1,200.00	1,200.00
24	42" CL-III RCP for Dixon Creek crossing	261	l.f.	75.00	19,575.00	112.50	29,362.50	110.92	28,950.12	138.00	36,018.00
25	42" RCP flared end section	1	ea	1,500.00	1,500.00	1,500.00	1,500.00	2,195.25	2,195.25	1,766.26	1,766.26
26	Dixon Creek junction box and tie-in to 30" pipes	1	ea	7,500.00	7,500.00	7,500.00	7,500.00	7,150.28	7,150.28	7,639.52	7,639.52

Item	Description	Qty	Unit	ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE		1 B&K Fox Contractor's Inc.		2 Randy Marriott Construction		3 Marsh Construction	
				Unit Price	Total	Unit Price	Total	Unit Price	Total	Unit Price	Total
27	Clear and grub right-of-way including debris, fence, and tree	1	l.s.	20,000.00	20,000.00	7,000.00	7,000.00	15,000.00	15,000.00	20,000.00	20,000.00
28	Remove and dispose of existing livestock buildings	1	l.s.	5,000.00	5,000.00	3,000.00	3,000.00	5,000.00	5,000.00	7,500.00	7,500.00
29	Excavate native material to sub-grade and haul away excess	1	l.s.	12,000.00	12,000.00	17,150.00	17,150.00	5,000.00	5,000.00	15,640.00	15,640.00
30	Sift existing on-site, granular material to approx. 4" minus	1,700	c.y.	10.00	17,000.00	7.30	12,410.00	10.60	18,020.00	9.25	15,725.00
31	Untreated base course	1,680	ton	20.00	33,600.00	14.50	24,360.00	15.31	25,720.80	18.00	30,240.00
32	HMA - 1/2"	600	ton	75.00	45,000.00	68.00	40,800.00	68.05	40,830.00	71.40	42,840.00
33	30" curb and gutter	1,242	l.f.	17.00	21,114.00	16.50	20,493.00	14.53	18,046.26	14.65	18,195.30
34	4' wide concrete sidewalk (4" thick)	4,685	s.f.	4.00	18,740.00	4.50	21,082.50	4.43	20,754.55	4.20	19,677.00
35	4' wide concrete sidewalk (6" thick)	160	s.f.	5.00	800.00	8.50	1,360.00	7.63	1,220.80	7.40	1,184.00
36	Concrete drive approach (6" thick)	160	s.f.	5.00	800.00	8.50	1,360.00	7.63	1,220.80	7.40	1,184.00
37	Pedestrian ramp	2	ea	800.00	1,600.00	1,000.00	2,000.00	950.00	1,900.00	800.00	1,600.00
38	Saw-cut existing asphalt	84	l.f.	2.50	210.00	4.00	336.00	3.78	317.52	3.00	252.00
TOTAL (Items 1-38) \$				365,303.00	\$	297,424.00	\$	339,829.88	\$	356,590.91	

BID TABULATION

Harrisville City 1100 North Extension Project

Bid Opening: October 4, 2018, 2:00 p.m., Jones & Associates

Item	Description	Qty	Unit	4 Staker Parson Materials & Construction		5 Advanced Paving & Construction		6 E.H. Knudson Construction Co. Inc.		7 Regency Excavation		8 Skyview Excavation & Grading Inc.	
				Unit Price	Total	Unit Price	Total	Unit Price	Total	Unit Price	Total	Unit Price	Total
1	Mobilization	1	l.s.	\$ 9,400.00	\$ 9,400.00	\$ 18,000.00	\$ 18,000.00	\$ 11,000.00	\$ 11,000.00	\$ 6,500.00	\$ 6,500.00	\$ 28,000.00	\$ 28,000.00
2	Traffic Control	1	l.s.	1,740.00	1,740.00	600.00	600.00	1,000.00	1,000.00	1,750.00	1,750.00	8,000.00	8,000.00
3	UPDES Storm Water Compliance (over one acre)	1	l.s.	4,900.00	4,900.00	2,200.00	2,200.00	3,500.00	3,500.00	11,500.00	11,500.00	10,000.00	10,000.00
4	15" CL-III RCP storm drain	265	l.f.	33.60	8,904.00	36.00	9,540.00	38.00	10,070.00	62.00	16,430.00	48.00	12,720.00
5	4' diameter storm drain manhole	1	ea	2,310.00	2,310.00	2,450.00	2,450.00	2,900.00	2,900.00	3,100.00	3,100.00	2,400.00	2,400.00
6	Storm drain inlet box	2	ea	2,625.00	5,250.00	2,900.00	5,800.00	1,950.00	3,900.00	2,850.00	5,700.00	3,000.00	6,000.00
7	8" SDR-35 PVC sanitary sewer	438	l.f.	42.00	18,396.00	44.00	19,272.00	45.00	19,710.00	45.00	19,710.00	65.00	28,470.00
8	4' diameter sanitary sewer manhole	3	ea	2,940.00	8,820.00	3,100.00	9,300.00	4,000.00	12,000.00	2,995.00	8,985.00	4,800.00	14,400.00
9	8" C-900 PVC culinary water line	698	l.f.	26.25	18,322.50	28.00	19,544.00	30.00	20,940.00	39.00	27,222.00	38.00	26,524.00
10	8" fittings for culinary water line	8	ea	420.00	3,360.00	450.00	3,600.00	800.00	6,400.00	1,000.00	8,000.00	1,200.00	9,600.00
11	8" gate valve	1	ea	1,785.00	1,785.00	1,750.00	1,750.00	1,825.00	1,825.00	2,200.00	2,200.00	2,400.00	2,400.00
12	6" C-900 PVC culinary water line	20	l.f.	23.10	462.00	24.50	490.00	21.00	420.00	30.00	600.00	100.00	2,000.00
13	Fire hydrant	1	ea	5,145.00	5,145.00	5,450.00	5,450.00	5,750.00	5,750.00	5,975.00	5,975.00	5,200.00	5,200.00
14	Loop water line under 42" RCP storm drain	1	ea	4,988.00	4,988.00	5,300.00	5,300.00	3,080.00	3,080.00	3,900.00	3,900.00	4,500.00	4,500.00
15	6" C-900 PVC secondary water line	678	l.f.	21.00	14,238.00	22.50	15,255.00	18.50	12,543.00	36.00	24,408.00	32.00	21,696.00
16	6" fittings for secondary water line	7	ea	472.50	3,307.50	500.00	3,500.00	600.00	4,200.00	900.00	6,300.00	1,400.00	9,800.00
17	6" gate valve (culinary and secondary)	2	ea	892.60	1,785.20	950.00	1,900.00	1,475.00	2,950.00	1,800.00	3,600.00	1,500.00	3,000.00
18	15" SDR-35 PVC irrigation line	472	l.f.	28.35	13,381.20	30.00	14,160.00	31.80	15,009.60	46.00	21,712.00	48.00	22,656.00
19	4' diameter irrigation manhole	2	ea	2,625.00	5,250.00	2,780.00	5,560.00	2,900.00	5,800.00	2,700.00	5,400.00	3,800.00	7,600.00
20	Irrigation turnout structure with canal gate	1	ea	5,093.00	5,093.00	5,500.00	5,500.00	4,700.00	4,700.00	4,750.00	4,750.00	2,000.00	2,000.00
21	Remove existing turnout structure and plug existing pipe	1	l.s.	1,313.00	1,313.00	1,300.00	1,300.00	500.00	500.00	600.00	600.00	1,000.00	1,000.00
22	Remove existing irrigation pipe under new roadway	100	l.f.	10.50	1,050.00	11.00	1,100.00	10.00	1,000.00	12.00	1,200.00	15.00	1,500.00
23	Remove existing irrigation manhole	1	ea	420.00	420.00	450.00	450.00	450.00	450.00	450.00	450.00	600.00	600.00
24	42" CL-III RCP for Dixon Creek crossing	261	l.f.	129.20	33,721.20	137.00	35,757.00	147.00	38,367.00	155.00	40,455.00	170.00	44,370.00
25	42" RCP flared end section	1	ea	2,100.00	2,100.00	2,225.00	2,225.00	1,980.00	1,980.00	2,200.00	2,200.00	1,400.00	1,400.00
26	Dixon Creek junction box and tie-in to 30" pipes	1	ea	9,976.00	9,976.00	10,600.00	10,600.00	11,000.00	11,000.00	9,750.00	9,750.00	7,500.00	7,500.00

Item	Description	Qty	Unit	4 Staker Parson Materials & Construction		5 Advanced Paving & Construction		6 E.H. Knudson Construction Co. Inc.		7 Regency Excavation		8 Skyview Excavation & Grading Inc.	
				Unit Price	Total	Unit Price	Total	Unit Price	Total	Unit Price	Total	Unit Price	Total
27	Clear and grub right-of-way including debris, fence, and tree	1	l.s.	20,065.00	20,065.00	17,550.00	17,550.00	22,000.00	22,000.00	23,000.00	23,000.00	25,000.00	25,000.00
28	Remove and dispose of existing livestock buildings	1	l.s.	6,293.00	6,293.00	3,100.00	3,100.00	8,000.00	8,000.00	9,000.00	9,000.00	7,000.00	7,000.00
29	Excavate native material to sub-grade and haul away excess	1	l.s.	13,335.00	13,335.00	15,120.00	15,120.00	17,000.00	17,000.00	16,500.00	16,500.00	12,500.00	12,500.00
30	Sift existing on-site, granular material to approx. 4" minus	1,700	c.y.	18.70	31,790.00	12.00	20,400.00	9.00	15,300.00	6.75	11,475.00	8.00	13,600.00
31	Untreated base course	1,680	ton	16.25	27,300.00	17.75	29,820.00	20.25	34,020.00	18.75	31,500.00	16.00	26,880.00
32	HMA - 1/2"	600	ton	61.75	37,050.00	71.00	42,600.00	76.00	45,600.00	73.50	44,100.00	68.00	40,800.00
33	30" curb and gutter	1,242	l.f.	15.25	18,940.50	16.00	19,872.00	16.85	20,927.70	20.00	24,840.00	20.00	24,840.00
34	4' wide concrete sidewalk (4" thick)	4,685	s.f.	5.65	26,470.25	4.80	22,488.00	4.85	22,722.25	7.00	32,795.00	5.00	23,425.00
35	4' wide concrete sidewalk (6" thick)	160	s.f.	12.05	1,928.00	7.00	1,120.00	6.00	960.00	25.00	4,000.00	10.00	1,600.00
36	Concrete drive approach (6" thick)	160	s.f.	9.80	1,568.00	7.00	1,120.00	6.00	960.00	16.00	2,560.00	12.00	1,920.00
37	Pedestrian ramp	2	ea	994.20	1,988.40	850.00	1,700.00	1,075.00	2,150.00	1,450.00	2,900.00	1,200.00	2,400.00
38	Saw-cut existing asphalt	84	l.f.	3.15	264.60	4.50	378.00	1.80	151.20	2.75	231.00	5.00	420.00
TOTAL (Items 1-38) \$				372,410.35	\$	375,871.00	\$	390,785.75	\$	445,298.00	\$	463,721.00	

Project Engineer *Matthew J. Robertson*

Date 10/4/2018

**HARRISVILLE CITY
RESOLUTION 2018-12**

**A RESOLUTION OF HARRISVILLE CITY, UTAH, ENTERING AN
INTERLOCAL COOPERATION AGREEMENT BETWEEN WEBER SCHOOL
DISTRICT AND HARRISVILLE CITY FOR LAW ENFORCEMENT SERVICES.**

WHEREAS, the Utah Interlocal Cooperation Act, Title 11, Chapter 3, Utah Code Annotated, 1953 as amended, permits governmental units to enter into agreements with one another for the purpose of exercising on a joint and cooperative basis powers and privileges that will benefit their citizens and make the most efficient use of their resources;

WHEREAS, Title 11, Chapter 13, Section 5 of the Utah Code Annotated, 1953 as amended, provides that governing bodies of governmental units adopt resolutions approving an interlocal agreement before such agreements may become effective;

WHEREAS, Harrisville City (hereafter “City”) and the Weber School District (hereafter “WSD”) have mutually agreed to law enforcement services;

WHEREAS, WSD proposes a new Interlocal Cooperation Agreement (hereafter “Agreement”) for said services attached hereto as Exhibit “A” and incorporated herein by this reference;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of Harrisville City that the Interlocal Agreement with Weber School District attached hereto as Exhibit “A” and incorporated herein by this reference is approved and adopted. The City Council hereby authorizes and directs the Mayor to execute the Agreement and any documents relating thereto for and on behalf of the City.

PASSED AND APPROVED by the Harrisville City Council this 9th day of October, 2018.

	Roll Call Vote Tally	Yes	No
_____ MICHELLE TAIT, Mayor Harrisville City	Council Member Wilhelmsen	___	___
	Council Member Robinson	___	___
	Council Member Pearce	___	___
ATTEST:	Council Member Beecher	___	___
	Council Member Weiss	___	___
_____ JENNIE KNIGHT, City Recorder			

**AGREEMENT BETWEEN
THE BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE WEBER SCHOOL DISTRICT
AND HARRISVILLE CITY
FOR LAW ENFORCEMENT SERVICES**

This Agreement is made and entered into this ____ day of _____ 2018, pursuant to the provisions of The Interlocal Cooperation Act, Title 11, Chapter 13, et seq., Utah Code Annotated 1953 as amended "Interlocal Act" by and between Harrisville City, a Utah municipal corporation, hereinafter referred to as "Harrisville," and The Board of Education of the Weber School District, a school district of the State of Utah, hereinafter referred to as "District."

WITNESSETH

WHEREAS, District wants a safe and secure environment for its students, faculty, and all others using the district's school campuses and to allow students to obtain a quality education free from distractions; and

WHEREAS, District desires to make the most cost effective use of tax dollars to provide law enforcement services in designated schools; and

WHEREAS, District feels that Harrisville will provide excellent, cost effective, law enforcement within several of the District's schools; and

WHEREAS, Harrisville is able and willing to provide the law enforcement needed by the District; and

WHEREAS, Both parties would like to provide a platform for positive interactions between law enforcement personnel, students, and staff in order to build and strengthen the partnership between the students, the staff, the community, and law enforcement; and

WHEREAS, District has determined it is mutually advantageous to enter into this Agreement for Harrisville to provide law enforcement services to the District through the use of School Resource Officers ("SROs") working on and around the various school campuses to help provide and maintain a safe, healthy, and productive learning environment in school, to act as a positive role model to students, and to work to create a cooperative, proactive, and problem-solving partnership between law enforcement and the Local Education Authority; and

WHEREAS, It is agreed the services provided will be paid for by District, as hereinafter set forth, and the respective entities have determined and agree the amount set forth herein is reasonable, fair, and adequate compensation for providing the described law enforcement related services;

NOW THEREFORE, Pursuant to the Interlocal Act, the parties hereby agree as follows:

SECTION ONE AGREEMENT

- 1.01** Harrisville agrees to provide SROs who will furnish various law enforcement services to the District, to the extent and in the manner hereinafter set forth. Harrisville Police Chief shall be the administrator of this Agreement for Harrisville. The District designates the officers provided by Harrisville Police Department under this agreement as its "Law Enforcement Unit."
- 1.02** Both parties agree to jointly discuss SRO assignments.
- 1.03** Municipality agrees to accept feedback from the District about an SRO's performance.
- 1.04** This Agreement terminates and supersedes any existing Agreement for the provision of SROs, whether oral or written, that may exist between the parties.

SECTION TWO SCOPE OF SERVICES

- 2.01** Harrisville will furnish officers to work as SROs in the District's Junior High Schools, High Schools, and other Schools located in Harrisville as determined from time to time by the parties to this Agreement.
- 2.02** Under this Agreement, the District and SROs are jointly responsible to help maintain safe schools, improve school climate, and support educational opportunities for students.
- 2.03** To serve as an SRO, an officer must first meet all of the following basic qualifications:
 - a) Be a POST Certified officer and have at least one year of law enforcement experience.
 - b) Have appropriate knowledge and understanding of Federal and State laws, City and County ordinances, and Board of Education policies and regulations as applicable to law enforcement in schools.
 - c) Be capable of conducting in-depth criminal investigations.
 - d) Possess even temperament and set a good example for students.
 - e) Possess communication skills that would enable the officer to function effectively within the school environment.
 - f) Attend and complete SRO training, including training required under Utah law.
- 2.04** The SROs will perform the following duties on the school campuses during the school year and at designated school events:
 - a) Protect lives and property of the citizens and public school students of the County.
 - b) Investigate and support administrative investigations of violations of all state laws, city and county ordinances and, as agreed upon, Board of Education Policies and Administrative Regulations.

- c) Confer with administrator to resolve student offenses that include minor violations of the law and status offenses on school property.
- d) Refer to the administrator student offenses that are infractions of Board of Education Policies and Administrative Regulations.
- e) Patrol school halls and grounds during school hours, including lunches and assemblies.
- f) Maintain an open line of communication with school administrators.
- g) Investigate all reports of criminal activity on school property, except when other officers are responsible for the area or event.
- h) Assist other law enforcement officers with outside investigations concerning students attending the school(s) to which the SRO is assigned.
- i) Provide traffic control during the arrival and departure of students when necessary.
- j) At times, teach classes, as agreed upon, pertaining to law enforcement, school safety, and other approved subjects.
- k) Assist school staff in formulating and enforcing the "Safe School Policy."
- l) Arrange for guest speakers from the law enforcement community for special events related to substance abuse and other law enforcement related subjects.
- m) Maintain a highly visible peace officer presence in the schools.
- n) Be available to students to answer questions pertaining to laws, ordinances, or other law enforcement issues.
- o) Counsel students in special situations, such as students suspected of engaging in criminal misconduct, when requested by the principal or the principal's designee or by the parents of a student.
- p) Be a friendly positive role model for students.
- q) Report all gang and other criminal activity to the appropriate follow-up Unit.
- r) Coordinate security at special school events or functions at the request of the principal or the principal's designee.
- s) Attend extracurricular activities at the SRO's school and provide law enforcement support as agreed upon. Any additional officers from a law enforcement agency must be requested by the principal and will be compensated by principal out of school funds.
- t) At the request of the principal or the principal's designee and with appropriate compensation paid by the principal from school funds, attend extracurricular activities located away from the school and provide law enforcement support as agreed upon.
- u) Work directly under the supervision of the school administrator(s) for school-related matters and maintain full responsibility to the law enforcement chain of command in Harrisville Police Department.
- v) Wear the uniform or uniform options in the assigned school as approved by Harrisville Police Department.
- w) Keep separate Law Enforcement Unit records of incidents and investigations that are maintained for law enforcement purposes, and submit all incidents and arrest reports to the SRO's agency according to their departmental policy.
- x) Maintain communications with supervisors, school administration, and school safety personnel through assigned radios, pagers, voice-mail, and cellular phones.

Voice-mail should be checked each working day for any broadcast messages.

- y) Participate in parent, teacher, and student meetings when appropriate and in campus activities, student organizations, and athletic events when feasible.
- z) Notify immediate supervisor, the school safety coordinator (when applicable), and the school principal or the principal's designee when absent from work due to illness, training, vacation, or an agency emergency.
- aa) Provide backup SROs to cover absences of an assigned SRO whenever possible.
- bb) If an SRO, or backup officer, is not present at his/her assigned school during duty hours, Harrisville Police Department will arrange for another officer to be on call to respond to emergencies, answer questions, and deal with emerging problems.
- cc) At Harrisville Police Department's discretion, the SRO may leave school when needed to respond to an agency emergency.
- dd) Be present at the school Monday through Friday.
- ee) Make referrals of criminal offenses to juvenile court in accordance with State law.

2.05 The SROs should **not** perform the following:

- a) Act as substitute teachers.
- b) Handle school disciplinary duties for which the principal and school administration are responsible.
- c) Handle incidents occurring on school property when other officers are assigned to the particular event, i.e. parking lots, special events.
- d) Act as counselors on student issues not relating to law enforcement.

2.06 Harrisville will designate an officer or appropriate supervisor as the liaison to the District for the purpose of coordinating activities with Harrisville Police Department attending any District meetings as reasonably requested, and overseeing the delivery of police services under this Agreement. The placement of SROs will be determined by mutual agreement between Harrisville Police Department and the District. Primary consideration will be given to student rapport and assignment longevity.

SECTION THREE STUDENT RIGHTS

3.01 Harrisville and the District agree that in handling all student offenses, both parties will comply with state and federal law regarding the rights of students, as follows:

As a general rule, if there are possible criminal implications to the students' conduct, the administrator may refer the investigation to the SRO, and the SRO will conduct interviews and searches in accordance with appropriate law. If the SRO determines the conduct to be a status offense or a class C misdemeanor, the SRO will refer the case to the administrator for disciplinary action.

1. Searches:
 - a) If an administrator is conducting a search, the administrator must have reasonable suspicion to conduct the search and the search must be reasonable in scope.
 - b) If an SRO is conducting a search, the SRO must have probable cause to conduct the search and the search must be limited in scope to the purpose of the search.
 - c) Administrators, not SROs, should conduct searches when a school rule or policy is at issue. SROs may conduct searches where criminal law is at issue.

2. Questioning:
 - a) When conducting an investigation at school regarding violation of school rules, administrators will take the lead on questioning students.
 - b) SROs may be present during administrator interviews of students, but should not participate where the interview is focused on the school infraction.
 - c) Administrators may question students regarding violations of school rules without notifying parents and without reading a student his/her *Miranda* warnings.
 - d) When students under the age of 14 are suspected in potentially criminal activity, SROs will comply with Utah Rules of Juvenile Procedure.
 - e) To the extent allowed by law, administrators and Harrisville Police Department will share information related to offenses occurring on campus obtained during respective interviews by administrators and SROs in order for the non-interviewing party to perform its duties with respect to the student.

3. Information privacy:
 - a) Information obtained by administrators during the course of an administrative investigation will be maintained confidentially in the student's file at the school and is considered part of the student's education record under the Family Education Rights to Privacy Act.
 - b) Information obtained by the SRO during the course of a criminal investigation will be maintained by Harrisville Police Department in accordance with its policies and procedures.
 - c) Information may be shared with each party to this Agreement in accordance with state and federal law and Harrisville Police Department's policies and procedures.
 - d) Nothing in this Agreement limits the District's requirement to notify parents and right to notify law enforcement of prohibited acts pursuant to Utah law, and of its requirement to notify law enforcement for weapons violations pursuant to Utah law. Nothing in this Agreement limits Harrisville in its requirement to notify the District of certain offenses by minors pursuant to Utah Code 78A-6-112(3)(b) and 78A-6-117(1)(b). Any persons having information obtained under any of the aforementioned statutes will comply with all confidentiality requirements of the statutes.

**SECTION FOUR
SCHOOL RESOURCE OFFICER TIME ALLOCATION**

- 4.01** SROs will allocate their time based upon the following guidelines:
1. 50% of an SRO's time should be devoted to:
 - a) Law enforcement
 - b) Foot patrol and surveillance around the school
 - c) Investigations
 - d) Safe school support-fights/parking lot
 2. 30 – 35% of an SRO's time should be devoted to:
 - a) Building relationships
 - b) Opening communications between Schools and Agencies
 - c) Personal interaction with administration/Students/Staff/Parents
 - d) Creating an open door atmosphere
 - e) Intervention and problem solving
 - f) Providing a friendly positive role
 - g) Interagency referrals
 3. 5 – 20% of an SRO's time should be devoted to:
 - a) Teaching law-related education classes
 - b) Participation with students (activities and events)
 - c) Proactive instruction and presentations
 - d) Answering questions pertaining to laws, ordinances, or other law enforcement issues.

**SECTION FIVE
EQUIPMENT AND OTHER FACILITIES**

- 5.01** Harrisville will furnish all necessary labor, supervision, equipment, communications facilities, uniforms, badges, firearms, and other items of equipment reasonably necessary to provide the services described herein. School supplies and equipment will be supplied by the District.

**SECTION SIX
AUTHORITY AND EMPLOYMENT STATUS**

- 6.01** The SROs assigned by Harrisville Police Department under this agreement are designated as the District's Law Enforcement Unit and shall be treated and considered as members of the faculty of the schools to which the officers are assigned. However, for purposes of liability, officers shall not be deemed to be District officers or employees.
- 6.02** All SROs assigned to perform duties under the terms of this Agreement shall be

Harrisville employees, and shall have no right to any pension, civil service, or any other District benefit for services provided hereunder.

**SECTION SEVEN
GOVERNMENTAL IMMUNITY**

- 7.01** Harrisville and the District are governmental entities and subject to the Governmental Immunity Act of Utah, Utah Code Ann. Sections 63G-7-101, et seq. (“Act”). Subject to the provisions of the Act, Harrisville and the District agree to indemnify and hold harmless the other Party, its elected officials, officers, employees, agents, and volunteers from and against any and all actions, claims, lawsuits, proceedings, liability damages, losses, and expenses (including attorney’s fees and costs) arising out of or resulting from the performance of this Agreement to the extent the same are caused by any negligent or wrongful act or omission of that Party, its elected officials, officers, employees, agents, and volunteers. Nothing in this Agreement shall be deemed a waiver of any rights, statutory limitations on liability, or defenses applicable to Harrisville or the District under the Act.

**SECTION EIGHT
RESPONSIBILITY FOR SALARY AND BENEFITS**

- 8.01** The District shall not assume any liability for the payment of any salaries, wages, employment benefits, or other compensation to any Harrisville personnel performing services hereunder for the District and will not assume any other employment related liability except as provided for in this Agreement.
- 8.02** The District shall not be liable for compensation or indemnity to any Harrisville employee for injury or sickness arising out of his employment, unless otherwise provided herein, and Harrisville hereby agrees to hold the District harmless against any such claim.

**SECTION NINE
PERIOD OF AGREEMENT**

- 9.01** Unless sooner terminated as provided for herein, this Agreement shall be effective 12:01 a.m., 2018 (Date) and shall run for a sixty month period until 12 midnight on (Date).
- 9.02** Notwithstanding the provisions of this Section, either party may terminate this Agreement at any time by giving 180 days prior written notice to the other party.

**SECTION TEN
COST OR PAYMENT**

- 10.01** The District agrees to pay Harrisville the amount set forth in Attachment A, which is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference, for the services provided pursuant to this Agreement.
- 10.02** The rates set forth in Attachment A, may be renegotiated at the request of either party prior to July 1 of each year of this Agreement to reflect the current cost of the provided services in accordance with the policies and procedures for the determination of such rate as adopted by Harrisville and agreed to by the District.
- 10.03** The compensation paid by the District to Harrisville pursuant to this Agreement shall be used only for the services provided pursuant to this Agreement, and Harrisville shall not have the authority or right to use such funds for other purposes. Further, Harrisville agrees not to offset Harrisville Police Department present or future budget because of the compensation paid pursuant to this Agreement.

**SECTION ELEVEN
PAYMENT PROCEDURE**

- 11.01** The District shall remit one quarter of the contract amount to Harrisville within 20 days after receiving a bill, in a form approved by the District, at the close of each calendar quarter. If such payment is not remitted to Harrisville when due, Harrisville is entitled to recover interest at the rate of twelve percent (12%) per annum thereon as well as the contract amount.

**SECTION TWELVE
INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT**

- 12.01** In satisfaction of the requirements of the Interlocal Act, Harrisville and the District agree as follows:
- a) This Agreement shall be approved by each Party, pursuant to Section 11-13-202.5 of the Interlocal Act
 - b) This Agreement shall be reviewed as to proper form and compliance with applicable law by a duly authorized attorney on behalf of each Party, pursuant to Section 11-13-202.5(3) of the Interlocal Act
 - c) A duly executed original counterpart of the Agreement shall be filed with the keeper of records of each Party, pursuant to Section 11-13-209 of the Interlocal Act
 - d) No separate legal entity is created by the terms of this Agreement

**SECTION THIRTEEN
PROBLEM RESOLUTION**

13.01 The parties shall have the right upon request and through their authorized representative, to meet and confer with the other party's representative to discuss any problems arising regarding the performance, an individual officer's performance, and the costs for future periods, or any other issues related to this contract.

**SECTION FOURTEEN
AMENDMENT**

14.01 This Agreement may only be amended by a writing signed by both parties.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have caused this Agreement to be executed by their Authorized representatives as of the date first written above.

Harrisville

By _____
Mayor

ATTEST:

Harrisville Recorder

Approved as to Form and as Compatible with State Law:

Harrisville Attorney

The Board of Education of the Weber School District

By _____

Name: _____

Its: _____

ATTEST:

Approved as to Form and as Compatible with State Law:

Weber School District Attorney

ATTACHMENT A

**HARRISVILLE POLICE DEPARTMENT
COST BREAKDOWN**

HARRISVILLE POLICE	HOURS	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Orion Jr. High School	40	\$17,812.50	\$23,437.50	\$46,875.00
TOTAL PAID BY DISTRICT		\$17,812.50	\$23,437.50	\$46,875.00

**HARRISVILLE CITY
CAPITAL INVESTMENTS PLAN 2018-2019**



Adopted by the City Council on October 9, 2018

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section I – Community Profile.

- A. Purpose and Introduction.
- B. Vision Statement.
- C. Brief History.
- D. General Land Area.
- E. Housing for Homeless.

Section II – Capital Investments Plan.

- A. Brief Summary of Projects Pending or Completed within the Last Year.
- B. One Year Plan, Summary, Cost Estimates and Priority.
- C. Five Year Plan, Summary, Cost Estimates and Priority.

HARRISVILLE CITY CAPITAL INVESTMENTS PLAN 2018-2019

Section 1 - Community Profile

A. Purpose and Introduction.

The purpose of the Capital Investments Plan is to identify municipal capital projects needed within the corporate limits of Harrisville City. This plan will serve to provide information on completed, planned, and proposed municipal capital projects, and as an aid to seek grants and other appropriate funding to complete such projects. This plan covers capital projects completed, planned over the next year, and also planned over five (5) years. It is understood that the projects specified in this Plan integrated into the regional Consolidated Plan prepared by the Wasatch Front Regional Council.

B. Vision Statement.

The vision of Harrisville City is to create and maintain a happy and healthy community. In achieving this vision, the City seeks to promote efficient local governmental services and an effective infrastructure. The City is dedicated to keep local tax burdens at a minimum. The following elements are recognized as be essential in achieving the vision of the City:

- **Regional Role.** Harrisville City takes interests in regional matters through involvement in county and regional organizations to improve transportation, education, human services, utilities, public health and safety, and the environment.
- **Community Leadership.** Harrisville City is committed to a democratic system of representation of its citizens through its elected and appointed officials. Active citizen participation is encouraged for in civic events and issues are essential to our representative self-government.
- **Land Use.** Harrisville City seeks to implement policies aimed at preserving and enhancing the community. Such policies are advanced by effective land use regulations, the subdivision ordinance, architecture and building design standards, trails and open space management, sensitive lands regulations, community forestry and gardening, along with other appropriate policies that enhance the environment.
- **Central Business District.** The Harrisville Central Business District is designed to foster business and support the local tax base. The district identifies areas for business opportunities to develop at a scale compatible with a range of retail, office, and commercial uses. In this process, ongoing attention to urban design principles, pedestrian needs, traffic considerations, and green spaces is essential.
- **Community Services.** Harrisville City encourages cultural and municipal services for a diverse community population. Affordable and flexible programs will be for offered for parks, recreation, trails, forestry and gardening, and cultural enjoyment as being part of a healthy community.
- **Infrastructure.** Harrisville City will continue to operate and maintain a quality infrastructure of roads, storm water facilities, and sewer collection services while the community must recognize that delivery of these services now takes place in an arena of limited resources and heightened competition for tax revenues. Local

planning should continue to emphasize the Transportation Plan relating to various arterial and collector streets, and alternative transportation such as trails and pathways.

- Residential Land Use. Harrisville City is principally a single-family residential community that provides a good mix of housing and lot sizes. A large portion of the housing stock in the community is within the range of moderate income households. The community continues to enhance its image as a safe, affordable, and livable residential community. Supporting these efforts city officials should maintain the integrity of the Future Land Use Plan and its policies. The community should also seek ways of enhancing the quality of life through open space preservation, pedestrian trails, and well-designed and functional public and semi-public facilities.
- Population. Harrisville City supports and fosters a diverse population of approximately 5,567 residents according to the 2010 Census. The community is conscience that services and facilities must be updated with changes in age, income, lifestyle, and diversity.

C. Brief History.

Harrisville City was settled by early Mormon pioneers. An incident in September 1850 resulted in the killing of Shoshone Indian Chief Terikee which caused unrest throughout Weber County and forced the perpetrator Urban Stewart to leave the settlement. In 1851 Martin Henderson Harris, for whom Harrisville was named, built a log home west of Four Mile Creek and others soon followed. Harrisville was divided in 1890, and the westerly part of the settlement became Farr West. On April 9, 1962, Harrisville became an incorporated township. After permission was granted for a special census count, Harrisville was made a third-class city 30 January 1964. The population of the new city was 867. Harrisville City has welcomed new residential developments with citizens who have come here to share the quality of life and pleasant atmosphere of the area. Today, Harrisville is a fifth class city with a 2010 population of 5,567.

D. General Land Area.

Harrisville City is 2.7 square miles of land area is bounded by the neighboring cities of Ogden, North Ogden, Pleasant View, and Farr West. The Four Mile, Six Mile and Dixon Creeks flow through the City. There are areas of high ground water potential, wetlands and flood plain areas, and areas of wildlife habitation.

E. Housing for Homeless.

There is no significant homeless population in Harrisville City. They city supports the programs offered by local non-profit organizations and Ogden City.

Section 2 - Capital Investments Plan

A. Brief Summary of Projects Pending or Completed within the Last Year.

Project and Description - One Year Plan Complete	Cost	Status
Chip, crack, and slurry sealing schedule	\$200,000.00	Complete
Slurry Seal Cabin Parking and Pathways	\$70,000.00	Complete
TOTAL COSTS	\$270,000.00	

B. One Year Projects, Summary, Cost Estimates, and Priority.

Project and Description - One Year Plan	Est. Cost	Priority	Class
Chip, crack, and slurry sealing schedule	\$50,000.00	High	3
1100 North Street Connection	\$500,000.00	High	3
Larsen Lane widening between Washington Blvd. and Wall Ave.	\$4,300,000.00	High	3
ADA and Safety Improvements for Parks and Public Works	\$50,000.00	High	3
Millennium Park Parking and Safety Improvements	300,000.00	High	3
2300 North Sewer Realignment	\$20,000.00	High	3
TOTAL	\$5,220,000.00		

C. Five and Ten Year Projects, Summary, Cost Estimates and Priority.

Project and Description - Five Year Plan	Est. Cost	Priority	Class
Storm Water Capital Facilities Plan	\$8,000,000.00	Medium	3
Municipal Complex	\$8,000,000.00	Medium	3
Central Business District Economic Development	\$1,500,000.00	Medium	2
Public Safety, Security, Communication, and Traffic Device Upgrades	\$200,000.00	Medium	3
Various road, curb, gutter, sidewalk projects	\$1,000,000.00	Medium	3
Cemetery	\$1,500,000.00	Low	3
Playground and Splash Pad Upgrades	\$250,000.00	Low	3
N. Harrisville Road Sidewalk	\$1,000,000.00	Low	3
Pathway Plan Projects (See Plan adopted August 10, 2010)	\$1,000,000.00	Low	3
TOTAL COSTS	\$35,950,000.00		

Class 1 = Housing needs

Class 2 = Economic needs

Class 3 = Community needs